the simple antithesis "b«'j;oitrn iu»t made*1 in reference to the Son, whereby tlir Allan doctrine that the Son was a creature was effectually negatived. And they also adopted as their own the won! which has made the Council famous alike with believers and with sceptics--the word *' HowoouMon."

Dean Stanley, in his ///,vA*r.r i»/' the Iwstfrn Church has well .said that this is "one of those remarkable words which creep into the language of philosophy and theology ami thru suddenly acquire a permanent hold on the minds of men,*1 It was a word with a notable, if not a very remote past. It had been orthodox and heretical by turns, a fact which is not surprising when we* consider the vague* ness of the term "ousia*1 and the looseness with winch it had been employed by philosophical writers*

**It first distinctly aj»ptMml," says l)r;tn Stanley, 14 in the statement, given I*y Irenu'us, of the doctrincn of Valentius; then for a moment it artjuired a more orthodox reputation in the writing!* til" Uionysius and TheognostUH of Alexandria; then it was mluured with a dark shade by association with the teaching of Mumrs; next proposed m a test of orthoduxy at the Council of Antioch against Paul of Samosata, and then by that same Council was cosulemtteii as Subclitan.'*

Obviously, therefore, it was not: u word to com* mand instantaneous acceptance; its old associations lent a certain specious weight to the repeated accusation of the Arians that the Trinitarians were Importing into the Church fantastic subttattes bur-* Lecture ix